Syntax Let $L_{p}$ be the language of propositional logic. The vocabulary of $L_{p}$ comprises (i) a set of proposition symbols $P, Q, R \ldots$, (ii) a unary connective $\neg$, (iii) binary connectives $\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$, and (iv) parenthesis (\& ).
The well formed formulae (wffs) of $L_{p}$ are given by :
(i). All proposition symbols are wffs.
(ii). If $\varphi$ is a wff of $L_{p}$, then $\neg \varphi$ is also a wff of $L_{p}$.
(iii). If $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are wffs of $L_{p}$, then so are $(\varphi \wedge \psi),(\varphi \vee \psi),(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$, and $(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$.
(iv). Nothing else is a wff
(Nothing that cannot be constructed by successive steps of (i), (ii) or (iii) is a wff).


Semantics Let $V$ be a truth assignment (or valuation) that maps all proposition symbols to a truth value (it can also be seen as a model). Then the truth value of any proposition can be defined/computed inductively as follows :
(i). If $\varphi$ is a proposition symbol, then $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{V}=V(\varphi)$;
(ii). If $\varphi$ is a wff, then $\llbracket \neg \varphi \rrbracket=1$ if and only if $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket=0$;
(iii). If $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are wffs, then
$-\llbracket(\varphi \wedge \psi) \rrbracket=1$ iff $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket=1$ and $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket=1 ;$
$-\llbracket(\varphi \vee \psi) \rrbracket=0$ iff $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket=0$ and $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket=0 ;$
$-\llbracket(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rrbracket=0$ iff $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket=1$ and $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket=0 ;$
$-\llbracket(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) \rrbracket=1$ iff $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket=\llbracket \psi \rrbracket ;$


| $\varphi$ | $\psi$ | $\varphi \wedge \psi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |


| $\varphi$ | $\psi$ | $\varphi \vee \psi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |


| $\varphi$ | $\psi$ | $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |


| $\varphi$ | $\psi$ | $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |

Properties of formulae A formula may be: a tautology always true a contradiction always false contingent
These properties can be checked by computing the full truth table for the formula.

## Relations between formulae

- Two formulae $\varphi$ and $\psi$ may be :
contradictory $\quad \varphi$ is true when $\psi$ is false and vice-versa
contrary $\quad \varphi$ and $\psi$ are never true together (but may be false)
logically equivalent $\quad \varphi$ and $\psi$ always have the same truth value
- A formula $\psi$ is a logical consequence of $\varphi$ if : every time $\varphi$ is true, $\psi$ is also true. (We also say that $\varphi$ entails $\psi$ ).
These relations can be determined by computing the values of the two formulae in the same (full) truth table.

